Corrected: Author Correction



ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11057-4

OPEN

Microbial spatial footprint as a driver of soil carbon stabilization

A.N. Kravchenko^{1,2,3}, A.K. Guber^{1,2}, B.S. Razavi⁴, J. Koestel⁵, M.Y. Quigley ¹, G.P. Robertson ^{1,2,6} & Y. Kuzyakov^{3,7,8}

Increasing the potential of soil to store carbon (C) is an acknowledged and emphasized strategy for capturing atmospheric CO₂. Well-recognized approaches for soil C accretion include reducing soil disturbance, increasing plant biomass inputs, and enhancing plant diversity. Yet experimental evidence often fails to support anticipated C gains, suggesting that our integrated understanding of soil C accretion remains insufficient. Here we use a unique combination of X-ray micro-tomography and micro-scale enzyme mapping to demonstrate for the first time that plant-stimulated soil pore formation appears to be a major, hitherto unrecognized, determinant of whether new C inputs are stored or lost to the atmosphere. Unlike monocultures, diverse plant communities favor the development of 30-150 µm pores. Such pores are the micro-environments associated with higher enzyme activities, and greater abundance of such pores translates into a greater spatial footprint that microorganisms make on the soil and consequently soil C storage capacity.

¹ Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA. ² DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ³ Department of Agricultural Soil Science, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany. ⁴ Department of Soil and Plant Microbiome, Institute of Phytopathology, Christian-Albrecht-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany. ⁵ Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. ⁶ W. K. Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, MI 49060, USA. ⁷ Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Science, 142290 Pushchino, Russia. ⁸ RUDN University, Moscow, Russia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.N.K. (email: kravche1@msu.edu)

Solution of the 133 Pg C lost upon agricultural land-use conversion¹ is a prominent strategy for helping to keep global temperature rises below $1.5 \,^{\circ}C^{2,3}$. Various land management practices are known to build soil C. These practices include reducing soil disturbance^{4,5}, increasing quantity of plant biomass added to soil⁶, and increasing plant diversity^{7,8}, and perenniality^{9,10}. All aim at the two key components necessary to achieve C gains: boosting new C additions^{11,12} and enhancing physical protection of the newly added C¹¹⁻¹³.

However, abundant unexplained examples of these practices working in some but not other circumstances signal that our understanding is incomplete¹⁴. For example, row crop systems with cover crops can accumulate C even in presence of aggressive tillage^{15,16}, and some perennial bioenergy systems such as switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) can be slow to increase soil C despite large below ground C inputs and the lack of soil disturbance^{9,17}. Such discrepancies suggest a substantial knowledge gap with respect to the plant-soil-microbial interactions that drive soil C accretion. This deficiency is unfortunate, since strategies for effective soil C accrual can only be efficiently developed with a full understanding of the underlying controlling mechanisms.

Whether soil C will be gained or lost stems from the balance between microbial decomposition of new C inputs and protection of new C, either as plant-derived or microbially processed compounds¹⁸, within the soil matrix. Majority of the fresh organic matter inputs comes from plants, i.e., above ground litter and especially roots^{19,20}, and is subsequently utilized by the microbial community to produce CO_2 and decomposition inter-mediates^{21,22}. Intermediate products can escape further decomposition by forming organo-mineral associations with soil mineral surfaces, or by entrapment in small soil pores where they become inaccessible to microorganisms and their exoenzymes^{23,24}. Such aspects of soil C balance as sizes and chemical composition of C inputs^{19,20}, their microbial processing²⁵, and strength of the physical protection due to soil textural^{26,27} and structural^{28,29} characteristics have been extensively studied and well understood. Yet, a crucial element kept escaping the research focus: the need for new C to be transported from the micro-sites of its entry and initial processing to the micro-sites where it can be protected from further decomposition. Most studies implicitly assume that C processing and protection are spatially collocated. We suggest that processing and protection take place in different micro-sites of the soil matrix. The need for the new C to be transported to the protective sites prior to protection to occur is the currently overlooked underlying cause for the mentioned above discrepancies in expected and realized C gains.

Transport in soil occurs via pores, which are conduits for gases, water, nutrients, and dissolved organic C, and are habitats for microorganisms^{30,31}. Here we provide novel evidence that development of pores of certain sizes can have a controlling effect on C accrual. Pores in the 30–150 μ m radius size range may be especially important³² because they function as likely routes of O₂ influx^{33,34} and localities of new C inputs from fine plant roots³⁵. Their special role is evidenced by greater microbial activity^{36–39}, higher abundance of diverse taxa⁴⁰, and presence of dissolved organic matter enriched in lipids and depleted in lignin^{41,42}.

We hypothesize that the abundant presence of such pores, along with ample, fresh C inputs and able microbial communities, is necessary for prompt C accrual. We posit that high abundance of such pores contribute to C accrual in a multi-prong fashion. Specifically, they influence influx of fresh C inputs, affect activities of microbial decomposers by modifying their habitats, and optimize conditions for transport of microbial decomposition products into protective soil matrix. Non-invasive X-ray computed micro-tomography (μ CT) scanning supplies detailed 3D

information regarding the material density distribution within intact soil samples. Hence it is very well suited to outline the locations and geometry of soil pores, promoting, in turn, understanding of chemical and biological processes taking place within the soil pore space^{43,44}. We studied soils from five replicated bioenergy cropping systems, established in 2008, to represent a gradient of plant biodiversity⁴⁵. The five systems consist of two monocultures differing in perenniality, namely continuous corn (Zea mays L.) and switchgrass, a two-species system of continuous corn with a winter rye (Secale cereale L.) cover crop, and two systems with high plant diversity, i.e., poplars (Populus sp. hybrid) with an herbaceous understory and a native successional community abandoned from agriculture in 2008. We evaluated cropping system effects on the soil volume in the vicinity of \geq 30 µm radii pores, which serves as an indicator of the size of the spatial influence generated by the microorganisms residing in such pores. Using the new approach of in situ zymography we assessed micro-scale spatial patterns in the distribution of six extracellular enzymes representing microbial activities related to C, nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) cycling. Then, combining information from 2D zymography and 3D μCT scanning, we localized associations between microbial substrate utilization, as reflected in enzyme activities, and the abundance of soil pores.

Our results suggest that pores with $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ radii contain the most active microorganisms capable of responding rapidly to fresh C inputs and thus, of producing the greatest amounts of microbially processed C products. These products are important contributors to soil organic matter accumulation^{13,46}. Once they move into the adjacent soil matrix, they stabilize there via various physico-chemical mechanisms. The greater the presence of $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores and their spread throughout the soil, the greater the volume of the soil matrix receiving and protecting the products of microbial decomposition, and subsequently the higher soil C accrual. Greater plant diversity stimulates development of $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores and such stimulation is a previously unknown mechanism by which ecosystems with diverse plant communities enhance soil C accrual.

Results

Effects of cropping systems on soil C accrual and soil pores. We collected disturbed soil samples and undisturbed samples, referred to as intact cores, at a replicated field experiment in southwest Michigan, USA. The studied cropping systems were in-place for 9 years, an experimental duration regarded as typically sufficient for detecting soil C changes⁴⁷. Intact soil cores were subjected to X-ray μ CT scanning, followed by pore characterization from 3D images (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Consistent with previous reports from this site⁹, of the five cropping systems only the two systems with high plant diversity, poplar and native succession, resulted in higher levels of total soil C (Fig. 1a). Lower C levels were observed in continuous corn with and without cover crops and in the switchgrass system. Short-term respiration, an indicator of labile C, followed the same pattern as the soil organic C and was lower in corn and switchgrass than in the native succession system (Supplementary Fig. 2). However, microbial biomass C in switchgrass system was significantly higher than that of continuous corn and not different from that of poplar and native succession, was similar at the start of the experiment in 2009; however, 3–4 years later, monoculture switchgrass exceeded native succession by 10–20 fold (Fig. 1b).

We assessed the volume of the soil matrix that was in close proximity to \geq 30 µm pores (Fig. 2a), that is, within <180 µm



Fig. 1 Soil organic C, microbial biomass C, and belowground primary productivity in soil of the studied cropping systems 9 years after their implementation. **a** Average soil organic C (gray) and microbial biomass C (red) for five studied systems (5-10 cm soil depth). Lower and upper case letters represent statistically significant differences in terms of soil organic C and microbial biomass C, respectively (p < 0.05). Standard errors are equal to 0.1% and 28.5 µg C g^{-1} for soil organic C and microbial biomass C, respectively. Note that microbial biomass C could not be analyzed in the continuous corn with cover crop system. **b** Average belowground primary productivity for switchgrass and native succession vegetation systems from 2009 (the year of establishment) till 2013 (0-10 cm soil depth). Error bars represent s.e.m. Years when switchgrass belowground primary productivity exceeded that of native succession vegetation are marked with *p < 0.1 or ***p < 0.01. Source data are provided as a Source Data file



Fig. 2 Illustration of the steps involved in pore characterization and in combining 2D zymography and 3D X-ray μ CT scanning. **a** The volume of the soil matrix (pink) within 180 μ m distance from \geq 30 μ m pores (blue) was used as an approximate indicator of the size of the soil matrix that can be potentially affected by C processing taking place within pores. **b** After μ CT scanning (at 30 μ m resolution) each soil core was cut into slices, with 2 mm distance between the slices. Each core contained 8-16 slices, and, in most of the cores, zymograms of each enzyme were obtained on two slices per core. **c** A membrane saturated with an enzyme-specific substrate was placed on the surface of each slice and a zymogram was obtained



Fig. 3 Soil pore characteristics of the studied cropping systems 9 years after their implementation. Total porosity (gray bars, left *y*-axis) and percent of soil matrix located within <180 µm distance from the nearest \geq 30 µm pore (red bars, right *y*-axis). Letters mark significant differences among the systems in terms of percent of soil matrix located within <180 µm distance from the nearest \geq 30 µm pore (*p* < 0.05). Source data are provided as a Source Data file

distance from the pore boundaries. The 180 µm distance was used as an approximate indicator of a soil volume potentially affected by processes taking place within the pores and its value was selected as consistent with spatial patterns in microbial and C distributions observed in soil matrixes at similar experimental scales^{30,48}. A much smaller portion of the soil matrix in continuous corn and switchgrass was in the vicinity of these pores as compared to poplar and successional soils (Fig. 3). More specifically, the proportion of the soil matrix located close to such pores in native succession and poplar systems exceeded that in switchgrass by 51% and 67%, respectively. Total porosity in the poplar and native succession systems differed considerably from the others (Fig. 3). Since prior to 2008 the experimental site belonged to an agricultural field with common land use and management history, the observed differences are solely a product of contrasting root activities during the last 9 years.

Associations between enzyme activities and soil pores. After μ CT scanning, the intact soil cores were subjected to 2D zymography. Combining zymograms from >130 soil slices of intact soil cores with 3D X-ray μ CT images provided a unique opportunity to associate enzyme activities with pores of different sizes (Fig. 2b, c).

Spatial associations of enzyme activities with soil pores substantially differed between the poplar and successional systems versus the continuous corn and switchgrass systems (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 1). Enzyme activities in soils of successional systems were the same in regions with prevalence of $<30 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores, while in poplars the activities associated with prevalence of $<30 \,\mu\text{m}$ exceeded those in the regions with prevalence of $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores. In contrast, in both corn systems and in switchgrass, the enzyme activities were much lower in the regions dominated by $<30 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores than in the regions dominated by $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores. This effect was particularly strong in switchgrass soils, and was observed for all studied enzymes except cellobiohydrolase (Supplementary Fig. 3). In all systems the lowest activities were associated with very large (>180 \,\mu\text{m}) pores.

Notwithstanding differences in enzyme activities among pore sizes, soil enzyme activities from disturbed samples were not much different among the systems (Supplementary Fig. 4). The systems differed only in acid phosphatase activity, which was the highest in the native succession soil and the lowest in continuous corn.

Enzyme activities and soil pores after addition of fresh C. To address our expectation that $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores harbor active microbial communities capable of processing fresh C inputs, we also conducted zymography measurements after soil cores were incubated with fresh plant litter (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Zymography after incubation of soils with fresh plant litter showed more pronounced contrast in spatial associations between enzyme activities and soil pores compared to soil without fresh C (Fig. 4b). The enzyme activity increased in response to fresh C additions especially in the areas with $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores.

Discussion

Nine years of implementing cropping systems with different plant species diversities led to the formation of contrasting soil pore size distributions⁴⁹. The more diverse systems, i.e., poplar and native succession, developed higher soil porosity (Fig. 3) and a greater presence of $60-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores⁴⁹ and had concomitantly higher soil C contents (Fig. 1a). The systems with low plant diversity, i.e., continuous corn with and without cover crops and switchgrass, had no pore increases and did not experience soil C gains. In the latter three systems greater enzyme activities were associated with $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores (Fig. 4a). Yet, soil under switchgrass acquired significantly higher microbial biomass than continuous corn, comparable to that of poplar and native succession systems (Fig. 1a).

The finding that greater presence of pores benefits C accrual does appear paradoxical. However, it actually reflects a previously unrecognized micro-scale spatial interaction between physical and microbiological contributors to soil C sequestration. We posit that in soils with fewer $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores, microbial communities have a smaller spatial footprint, which we define as the volume of the soil matrix that can be immediately influenced by microbial decomposition products. A smaller footprint implies a smaller soil volume for entombing microbial decomposition products⁵⁰.

We propose the following conceptual model integrating this mechanism in the existing concept of plant-soil-microbial interactions (Fig. 5): Plant roots are the key agents in formation of soil pore architecture. Pores in $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ size range are the preferential locations of new C inputs and active microbial communities, where active processing of C inputs takes place. The greater the volume of the soil matrix in contact with such pores, the greater is the potential for microbial decomposition products to be transported to and be protected within the soil matrix inaccessible to microbial decomposers. Plant systems that stimulate creation of such pores enhance opportunities for microbial decomposition products to reach inaccessible soil matrix and thus stimulate C gains. Below we provide the evidence from the current study and published literature in support of the proposed concept.

A significant body of research supports the notion that pores in the range of tens-of-µm play an outsized role in C processing. Pores of this size demonstrate faster organic matter decomposition, greater presence of labile organic compounds, and higher microbial abundances^{36–42}. The special role of pores of this size range is driven by two factors. First, they are likely preferential locations of fine roots, typically ~30 µm. Fine roots are covered by root hairs contributing to rhizodeposition and have maximal exudation rates. Stable isotope analysis showed that these pores indeed receive and process large amounts of fresh C inputs⁵¹. Second, these pores possess optimal micro-environmental conditions in terms of water and oxygen supply to resident microbial



Fig. 4 Standardized enzyme activities in soil micro-sites with prevalence of pores of three size groups ($<30 \,\mu$ m, $30-150 \,\mu$ m, and $>180 \,\mu$ m). **a** Enzyme activities from soil slices not subjected to incubations with fresh C inputs. Shown are means across all studied enzymes. Error bars are s.e.m. (based on 139 zymography layers from 13 soil cores). Letters within each cropping system mark statistically significant differences among pore size classes (p < 0.05). **b** Enzyme activities from slices subjected and not subjected to incubations with fresh nutrient inputs; shown are means across all systems and enzymes. Error bars are s.e.m. (based on 88 and 14 zymography layers without and with new organic inputs, respectively, from 10 soil cores). Letters mark significant differences between enzyme activities in localities with <30 and 30-150 μ m pores (p < 0.05). Summary data are provided as a Source Data file



Fig. 5 Microbial footprint defines the soil volume available for C protection. Schematic representation of the effect that the abundance of 30–150 μm pores has on the size of the spatial footprint of microorganisms residing in such pores in perennial switchgrass monoculture and biodiverse native vegetation systems

communities. Smaller pores tend to have longer periods of anoxia⁵² and larger pores tend to have longer periods of water shortage⁵³. Higher enzyme activities associated with $30-150 \,\mu\text{m}$ pores (Fig. 4) confirm that these pores provide micro-environmental conditions optimal for microbial functioning and also harbor active microbial communities capable of a speedy response to new C inputs.

Our findings suggest that the ability of root systems to generate such pores can be a decisive factor in producing soil C gains. The roots of the two more diverse cropping systems, i.e., poplars and native succession, which quickly accumulated high levels of soil C, apparently stimulated the formation of \geq 30 µm pores⁴⁹. Once formation was initiated, these pores became, first, the locations of enhanced microbial activity and intensive processing of new C inputs; and second, the major source of microbially processed C that diffused into and became physico-chemically protected within the surrounding soil matrix by forming associations with mineral surfaces which protected them from further decomposition^{11,13}. High abundance of such pores ensured a large proportion of the soil matrix in close proximity to active microbial communities as represented by the percent of soil matrix located within 180 µm of the nearest \geq 30 µm pore (Fig. 3).

The switchgrass system with its low abundance of 30-150 µm pores⁴⁹, exceptionally high belowground biomass production (Fig. 1b), and a surprising lack of C gains (Fig. 1a; Supplementary Fig. 1) epitomizes the importance of this mechanism. The switchgrass system is very similar to poplar and native successional vegetation in terms of possessing all the components known to be necessary for rapid and extensive soil C accrual. As a perennial system, switchgrass management does not involve annual tillage; its belowground production is massive⁵⁴ and greatly exceeds that of the native succession vegetation (Fig. 1b). Switchgrass soils have highly diverse microbial communities, with microbial biomass (Fig. 1a) and bacterial diversity indices greatly exceeding those in the continuous corn system and similar to those in poplar and native succession⁵⁵. Fungal biomass in the switchgrass soils likewise exceeds that of corn and is similar to poplar and native succession⁵⁶, and greater fungal abundance is typically associated with greater C accrual¹³. The production of fine roots in switchgrass is also comparable to that of the native succession system⁵⁷. The switchgrass system was in place for nine years, a sufficient time for changes in soil C to take place⁴⁷. Yet even labile soil C fractions, which typically indicate coming changes in total soil C did not increase under switchgrass (Supplementary Fig. 1 and ref.⁹). It should be noted that these results are not unique to the current study. While the conversion of annual cropland to switchgrass often leads to soil C gains^{58,59}, no or slow increases in soil C concentrations under switchgrass as well as high variability in observed C gains⁶⁰ have also been reported, including diverse experimental sites in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Nebraska⁶¹, southeastern US⁶², and northern Italy¹⁷.

In soils under switchgrass, high root C inputs⁶³ stimulated microbial activity, but in the absence of a sufficient abundance of $30-150 \ \mu\text{m}$ pores, most of this C was apparently oxidized to CO₂ rather than entombed as organo-mineral associations in the nearby soil matrix. While the $30-150 \ \mu\text{m}$ pores in switchgrass soil were the sites of intensive enzyme activities and C processing (Fig. 4), the volume of the soil matrix adjacent to such pores was relatively small (Fig. 3). Thus, the pore-adjacent layer likely became quickly saturated with microbial C inputs, with limited diffusion farther from the pores. This is consistent with observations of Chenu et al.³⁶ who noted that once a new C source was applied to the surface of soil aggregates it was actively microbially consumed in situ with only small amounts diffusing into aggregate centers. A large volume of soil matrix, with high potential for

C physico-chemical protection, therefore remained unutilized, not receiving C, and resulting in overall low soil C levels. Indeed, in switchgrass soil intensive microbial processing and C turnover have been demonstrated in a number of ¹³C isotope based studies^{64–67}. It can be surmised that the new C inputs were either fully decomposed and lost as CO_2 or lost as dissolved organic C during convective flow events.

Developed differences in soil pore characteristics between monoculture switchgrass and biodiverse poplar and native succession systems could be a result of several physical and biological factors. A potential physical factor is a difference in water usage by plant communities. Systems where soils experience greater drying in summer would be expected to have a greater presence of large pores (cracks) due to soil shrinkage. This phenomenon is commonly observed in soils with high clay contents (2:1), but still, though to a lesser extent, is present in sandy loam soils. Systems with greater soil moisture levels prior to soil freezing in winter would be expected to develop greater pore presence due to more extensive soil expansion during freezing/thawing cycles. However, experimental evidence suggests that in our systems these physical mechanisms could be only minor contributors to the observed pore differences, especially so since the studied soils do not contain significant amounts of shrink-swell clays. Over the years, soil water content under poplars, with its greater pore abundances, tended to differ from that in the other systems (Supplementary Fig. 5). However the observed tendency was actually disadvantageous to pore formation via wetting-drying and freezing-thawing mechanisms mentioned above, because poplar soil tended to be more moist in spring and summer, and drier in fall prior to soil freezing.

Biological factors are thus likely to dominate. Differences in root architecture, including root density, diameter, strength, fine root biomass and mycorrhization, are well known to affect soil porosity^{68–70}; and amounts and compositions of root exudates are likewise affected by root characteristics⁶³. Systems with greater plant diversity have a greater variety of root architectures with correspondingly different effects on porosity. Moreover, in the systems with no soil disturbance and low plant diversity the reuse of the old root channels by the new plant roots is more likely^{71,72}, further reducing possibilities for new pore formations.

Overall, our results point to a heretofore missing link in understanding plant-soil-microbial interactions. Plant community composition defines not only the composition of the soil microbial community, but, by affecting presence and characteristics of soil pores, it also defines spatial distribution patterns of regions where the microorganisms can most effectively reside and function as related to C turnover and sequestration. That pattern then defines the spatial footprint that microorganisms can make on the surrounding soil matrix. For soil C processing the size of this footprint translates into the size of the soil volume involved in the protection of microbially-processed C inputs.

Our finding was enabled by comparisons between a monoculture switchgrass and biodiverse native succession and poplar systems- the systems that possessed great similarities in all their features except for pore characteristics. Very high inputs of fresh C in the switchgrass system made the effects of pore presence on microbial functioning especially pronounced and their detection possible using 2D zymography and X-ray μ CT tools. We surmise that similar considerations are applicable to the no-till continuous corn systems of this study, where small microbial footprint is probably one of the contributors to their low C levels.

Our work demonstrates how better understanding of microscale interactions between plants, microorganisms, and soil characteristics can have a direct impact on macro-scale (landscape) features of terrestrial ecosystems, i.e., accrual of soil C, and how it can lead to new strategies in sustainable agricultural management. A potential strategy is the maximization of root diversity as a prerequisite of efficient C accrual, which can be achieved by planting more diverse switchgrass stands, promoting diverse understory in poplar plantations, and intensively using polyculture cover crops in row crop systems.

Methods

Field experiment. The Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center (GLBRC) experiment had been established in 2008 at Kellogg Biological Station's Long-term Ecological Research Site, Michigan, USA on well-drained Alfisols⁷³. Soil texture consists of 65% sand, 27% silt, and 8% clay. The field experiment is a randomized complete block design with five replications⁴⁵. The five bioenergy land use and management system are: no-till continuous corn and no-till continuous corn with winter cover crop of cereal rye, a monoculture switchgrass, a hybrid poplar (*Populus nigra × P. maximowiczii* NM6) with herbaceous understory⁹, and an early successional community abandoned from agriculture in 2008. The experimental site was plowed prior to establishment and no further plowing was conducted in either of the systems. All systems were managed using local best agronomic practices⁴⁵.

Two intact soil cores (5 cm $\emptyset \times 5$ cm height) were collected from four replicated plots of each bioenergy system for a total of 40 cores in early spring 2017. The soil cores were taken using a soil core sampler (Soil Moisture Equipment Corp.) into an acrylic cylinder located within the sampler. The sampler had two rings, 5-cm height each, and soil from the first ring was discarded. Thus, the cores were collected precisely from 5–10 cm depth within the soil profile. A disturbed soil sample and an additional soil core for bulk density measurement were also collected from each sampling location. Bulk density was measured for each sampling location using the core method⁷⁴ and is reported in ref. ⁴⁹. Soil temperatures were around 5 °C at the time of sampling and soil water content levels were around field capacity. During the time period prior to the zymography measurements the cores were kept in a dark at 4 °C, except for times of shipping and during μ CT scanning. To preserve the cores during shipping each core was tightly closed on both ends with ridged foil caps and wrapped in several layers of plastic using duct tape. The disturbed samples were stored air-dried.

X-ray computed μ CT scanning and image analysis. Intact soil cores were subjected to X-ray scanning using a GE Phoenix v|tome|x m scanner at the Institute of Soil and Environment at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Uppsala, as described in ref.⁴⁹. In brief, the X-ray scanner was equipped with a 240 kV tube, a tungsten target and a 16′′ flat panel detector with 2014 × 2014 detector crystals (GE DRX250RT). Each 3D X-ray μ CT image was reconstructed from 2000 projections, with a tube voltage of 130 kV and an electron current of 200 μ A. No optical filters were used. Soil cores were scanned inside their acrylic rings. Beam hardening artefacts were not observed. 3D μ CT X-ray images were reconstructed using the filtered back-projection approach (GE software datos|x). The entire soil core, including the acrylic cylinder, was scanned. Each image had a voxel size of 29 μ m in x, y and z direction.

Two examples of the scanned images are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a, b. The image processing was conducted using ImageJ/Fiji software. The same image processing procedures were applied to soil samples from all studied systems. Preprocessing consisted of a 3D median filter with a radius of two voxels in all directions using Median 3D filter tool from ImageJ. After that we removed 0.5 cm border parts around each core to avoid artifacts associated with sample wall effects.

For segmenting the images into pores and non-pores we used the minimum error approach⁷⁵ on the respective image histograms. The grayscale histograms (8bit) of all soil cores exhibited a two-distribution pattern, with one distribution corresponding to air + liquid and the other to solid portions of the images. Thus, following ref. ⁷⁶, the two-Gaussian fits were applied to the histograms. The threshold was computed as a greyscale value that minimized the difference between the overlapping areas of the two distributions. This approach conserves the voxel balance between the two segmented phases (solid and air + liquid). The necessary computations were performed in the Regression Wizard tool of the SigmaPlot software (Systat Software, Inc). The segmentations were conducted separately for each soil core.

Then, visible pores ($\geq 30~\mu m$) were obtained using the Xlib plugin for Image]⁷⁷. We used the continuous 3D pore-size distribution option of the software, which provides radii of the largest spheres, that fit into the 3D pore volume, as described in detail in ref. ⁷⁷. Therefore, the pore size at a specific location was defined as the radius of the maximally inscribable sphere at this location. Particulate organic matter, including plant roots, in the images was identified as described in ref. ⁴⁹ and in further analyses particulate organic matter was separated from pore and solid fractions. Pore-size distribution data from the studied cores are reported in ref. ⁴⁹.

As a measure of the soil matrix fraction that was potentially affected by C processing taking place within the visible pores (\geq 30 µm), we used the soil matrix volume located within 180 µm distance from these pores (Fig. 2a). The volume was determined via a series of 3D dilations using ImageJ until ~180 µm distance from the pore surface was reached. The value of 180 µm was selected as consistent with

distances for spatial correlations in microbial colony distributions³⁰ and spatial patterns of soil C distributions⁴⁸ in previous micro-scale studies of soil matrix.

Soil measurements. The total porosity of each intact soil sample was calculated from its soil volume determined from the μ CT image, gravimetric soil moisture content measured at the end of the study, and soil weight. A particle density of 2.6 g cm⁻³ was assumed for the total porosity calculations. Porosity <30 µm was determined as the difference between the total porosity and the image-based porosity, that is, the volume of pores with radii >30 µm, obtained from the images.

For total soil C measurements, disturbed samples were sieved and ground followed by combustion analysis on Carlo-Erba Elemental Analyzer (Costech Analytical Technologies, Valencia, CA). Short-term respiration was determined by incubating 10 g soil samples for 7 days at 20 °C in the dark⁷⁸. Measurements of CO₂ emissions were conducted using infrared Photoacoustic Spectroscopy (PAS) (1412 Photoacoustic multi-gas monitors; INNOVA Air Tech Instruments, Ballerup, Denmark) in the gas circulation mode. Microbial biomass C was measured using chloroform fumigation incubation method⁷⁹. After 24 h of fumigation with ethanol-free chloroform, 5 g fumigated and non-fumigated (control) soil samples, were subjected to 10 day incubations followed by CO₂ measurements as described above. Microbial biomass C was calculated based on the amounts of CO₂ emitted from fumigated and control samples⁸⁰.

Gravimetric soil water content monitoring was conducted on a bi-weekly basis from each replicated experimental plot at the GLBRC experimental site. Soil samples for gravimetric soil water content measurements were collected from 0–25 cm depth using a push probe (\emptyset 2 cm) during growing seasons of 2009–2015. Soil was dried for 48 h at 60 °C.

Root biomass at 0–10 cm depth was measured in soil cores (Ø 7.6 cm) collected in triplicates from each experimental plot. The cores were taken at three points along plant density gradients moving out from the center of a bunchgrass into the interstitial space. Cores were air-dried, sieved over a 2-mm sieve, and roots were washed, dried at 60 °C for 48 h, and weighed. Samples were collected in November from 2009–2013.

The following soil biological characteristics of the studied cropping systems were measured from the studied experimental plots 1–2 years prior to the current work and reported in peer-reviewed literature: microbial community composition and bacterial diversity indices⁵⁵, fungal biomass⁵⁶, fine root production⁵⁷, labile soil C fractions⁹.

2D zymography of soil cores. Enzyme activities were mapped using soil zymography approach⁸¹. Zymography mapping consists of placing a membrane saturated with an enzyme-specific fluorogenic substrate on a surface of the soil sample. Once the substrate is enzymatically hydrolyzed, a fluorescent product is generated and the ensuing fluorescent patterns reflect the presence and activities of the enzymes. A photo of the membrane is taken in ultraviolet (UV) light, which then is used to produce a map of enzyme activities on the studied surface, referred to as a zymogram.

A schematic representation of the process of combining zymography with μCT information is shown on Supplementary Fig. 6, while a detailed description of the zymography procedures and soil processing for zymography analyses used in this study are provided in ref.⁸². In brief, the following specific settings were used: membrane—hydrophilic polyamide filters (100 µm thick, Tao Yuan, China)⁸¹; camera—Nikon D90 camera (Nikon Inc.) with a Sigma 18–250 mm f/3.5–6.3 DC Macro OS HSM lens (Sigma Corp. of America); source of UV light—a 22 W Blue Fluorescent Circline Lamp—FC8T9/BLB/RS (Damar Worldwide 4 LLC); extracellular enzymes—beta-glucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, xylanase, N acetyl-beta-glucosaminidase, leucine aminopeptidase, and acid phosphatase; respective enzyme-specific substrates: 4-Methylumbelliferyl-β-D-Glucoside, 4-Methylumbelliferyl-β-D-Cellobioside, 4-Methylumbelliferyl-β-D-Xylopyranoside, 4-Methylumbelliferyl-β-D-Xylopyranoside, 4-Methylumbelliferyl-N-Acetyl- β-D-Glucosaminide, L-leucin-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride, and 4-methylumbelliferyl-phosphate⁸³.

Soil cores were cut into 2 mm slices (Fig. 2b) using a specially designed cutting table (Supplementary Fig. 1c), as described in detail in ref. ⁸². A total of 13 soil cores were analyzed, with 10–16 zymograms taken per core, one map per each soil slice. Soil moisture levels were not manipulated prior to the measurements. Since good contact between a membrane and a soil surface is needed for obtaining reliable enzyme activity data⁸⁴, only portions of each membrane were usable. Such portions were identified via an MUF-staining approach⁸⁴ as described by ref. ⁸². The areas with minimal contact were excluded from enzyme map analyses.

The zymogram was covered by a 1 mm² grid, and for each pixel of the grid we identified a corresponding 1 mm³ voxel from the μ CT image. The enzyme pixel was assumed to be located at the center of the μ CT voxel (Supplementary Fig. 6). For each 1 mm² pixel of the zymogram we calculated the average grayscale value and standardized it based on the mean and standard deviation of the entire zymogram. For each 1 mm³ voxel of the μ CT image we also calculated averages for the studied characteristics, e.g., volumes of pores of different sizes and volume of the soil matrix in relative proximity to sizeable pores. The aggregation of the data to the 1 mm scale conducted here was required to address the uncertainties associated with soil cutting and matching of zymography and μ CT images. Because it introduced smoothing into the resulting pore data, to ensure that the uncertainty in pore characteristics of individual voxels was minimized during the analyses of

ARTICLE

the relationships between pores and enzyme activities, we only selected for such analyses the voxels where the pore values were above the 95th percentile value for the entire soil core (Supplementary Fig. 7). Specifically, for each core we

constructed histograms for pores of all studied class sizes and calculated the 95th percentiles for abundances of each pore size class. Then each voxel of the core was examined and only the voxels with abundances exceeding the 95th percentiles were retained for further analyses. On very rare occasions when a voxel exceeded 95th percentile in more than one pore size class it was grouped in the larger of the size classes. While this approach reduced the number of data points available for the analyses, it ensured that the data used are highly representative in terms of their pore characteristics.

In order to evaluate how addition of new nutrient inputs influences relationships between soil pores and enzyme activities we conducted incubations with fresh plant inputs in 10 studied cores, with 1–2 soil slices per core for a total of 14 slices. Each slice was covered by a layer of nutrient source, either as an alder (*Alnus glutinosa* L.) leaf or as a layer of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) leaves, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1d. Prior to the experiment, the leaves were air-dried in a botanic press to ensure the maximum uniformity in their contact with the entire soil surface. After placing the dried leaves on the soil surface, 240 µl of water was evenly added with a pipette on top. The surface was covered with aluminum foil and a 100 g sandbag weight to maximize the contact with the soil, and incubated for 3–7 days. After the incubation, the leaves were carefully removed from the surface and an additional 0.2–0.3 mm of soil was cut off the surface to ensure that no leaf material remained on the soil surface subjected to zymography. Then, zymography measurements were conducted on the surface as described earlier.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using PROC MIXED and PROC GLIMMIX procedures of SAS⁸⁵. Implemented statistical models varied depending on the experimental units from which different response variables were collected. Specifically, comparisons among the bioenergy systems in terms of the response variables with a single observation per soil core, e.g., soil C or soil volume in pore vicinity, were conducted using the statistical model with a fixed effect of the bioenergy system and random effects of the experimental field block and experimental field plot (nested within the system). Statistical models for exploring standardized enzyme activities for different enzymes in different bioenergy systems and corresponding to areas with prevailing pores of different sizes included fixed effects of enzyme, system, and pore size class, as well as their interactions, and random effects of blocks, plots, cores (nested within the systems and plots), and also individual soil slices (nested within all of the above factors). Normality of the residuals and homogeneity of variances were checked for each studied variable. In case of marked deviations from normality the data were log-transformed, while in case of variance heterogeneity, unequal variance analysis was performed⁸⁶

Data availability

Data collected for this study will be made publicly available on Dryad and will be preserved in the KBS LTER database available at http://lter.kbs.msu.edu/datatables. The source data underlying Figs. 1a-b, 2a-b, and Supplementary Figs. 2 and 5 as well as summary data underlying Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4 are provided as a Source Data file.

Code availability

Data and image analyses codes are available from the authors upon request.

Received: 20 July 2018 Accepted: 19 June 2019 Published online: 16 July 2019

References

- Sanderman, J., Hengl, T. & Fiske, G. J. Soil carbon debt of 12,000 years of human land use. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 114, 9575–9580 (2017).
- 2. Minasny, B. et al. Soil carbon 4 per mille. Geoderma 292, 59-86 (2017).
- Smith, P. Soil carbon sequestration and biochar as negative emission technologies. *Glob. Change Biol.* 22, 1315–1324 (2016).
- West, T. O. & Post, W. M. Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation: A global data analysis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 1930–1946 (2002).
- Ogle, S. M., Swan, A. & Paustian, K. No-till management impacts on crop productivity, carbon input and soil carbon sequestration. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 149, 37–49 (2012).
- Poeplau, C. & Don, A. Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of cover crops – A meta-analysis. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 200, 33–41 (2015).
- Lange, M. et al. Plant diversity increases soil microbial activity and soil carbon storage. Nat. Commun. 6, 6707(2015).
- McDaniel, M. D., Tiemann, L. K. & Grandy, A. S. Does agricultural crop diversity enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta-analysis. *Ecol. Appl.* 24, 560–570 (2014).

- Sprunger, C. D. & Robertson, G. P. Early accumulation of active fraction soil carbon in newly established cellulosic biofuel systems. *Geoderma* 318, 42–51 (2018).
- Post, W. M., Izaurralde, R. C., West, T. O., Liebig, M. A. & King, A. W. Management opportunities for enhancing terrestrial carbon dioxide sinks. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 10, 554–561 (2012).
- Cotrufo, M. F., Wallenstein, M. D., Boot, C. M., Denef, K. & Paul, E. The Microbial Efficiency-Matrix Stabilization (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter decomposition with soil organic matter stabilization: do labile plant inputs form stable soil organic matter? *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 988–995 (2013).
- De Deyn, G. B., Cornelissen, J. H. C. & Bardgett, R. D. Plant functional traits and soil carbon sequestration in contrasting biomes. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 516–531 (2008).
- Kallenbach, C. M., Frey, S. D. & Grandy, A. S. Direct evidence for microbialderived soil organic matter formation and its ecophysiological controls. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13630 (2016).
- Erb, K. H. et al. Land management: data availability and process understanding for global change studies. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 512–533 (2017).
- Syswerda, S. P., Corbin, A. T., Mokma, D. L., Kravchenko, A. N. & Robertson, G. P. Agricultural management and soil carbon storage in surface vs. deep layers. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75, 92–101 (2011).
- Kallenbach, C. M., Grandy, A. S., Frey, S. D. & Diefendorf, A. F. Microbial physiology and necromass regulate agricultural soil carbon accumulation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **91**, 279–290 (2015).
- 17. Chimento, C., Almagro, M. & Amaducci, S. Carbon sequestration potential in perennial bioenergy crops: the importance of organic matter inputs and its physical protection. *GCB Bioenergy* **8**, 111–121 (2016).
- Barre, P. et al. Microbial and plant-derived compounds both contribute to persistent soil organic carbon in temperate soils. *Biogeochemistry* 140, 81–92 (2018).
- Kong, A. Y. Y. & Six, J. Tracing root vs. residue carbon into soils from conventional and alternative cropping systems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 74, 1201–1210 (2010).
- Rasse, D. P., Rumpel, C. & Dignac, M.-F. Is soil carbon mostly root carbon? Mechanisms for a specific stabilisation. *Plant Soil* 269, 341–356 (2005).
- Dungait, J. A. J., Hopkins, D. W., Gregory, A. S. & Whitmore, A. P. Soil organic matter turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. *Glob. Change Biol.* 18, 1781–1796 (2012).
- Pausch, J. & Kuzyakov, Y. Carbon input by roots into the soil: quantification of rhizodeposition from root to ecosystem scale. *Glob. Change Biol.* 24, 1–12 (2018).
- Kiem, R. & Kögel-Knabner, I. Refractory organic carbon in particle-size fractions of arable soils II: organic carbon in relation to mineral surface area and iron oxides in fractions <6 μm. Org. Geochem. 33, 1699–1713 (2002).
- Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A. & Paustian, K. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. *Plant Soil* 241, 155–176 (2002).
- Jastrow, J. D., Amonette, J. E. & Bailey, V. L. Mechanisms controlling soil carbon turnover and their potential application for enhancing carbon sequestration. *Clim. Change* 80, 5–23 (2007).
- Batlle-Bayer, L., Batjes, N. H. & Bindraban, P. S. Changes in organic carbon stocks upon land use conversion in the Brazilian Cerrado: a review. *Agric.*, *Ecosyst. Environ.* 137, 47–58 (2010).
- Baer, S. G., Meyer, C. K., Bach, E. M., Klopf, R. P. & Six, J. Contrasting ecosystem recovery on two soil textures: implications for carbon mitigation and grassland conservation. *Ecosphere* 1, art5 (2010).
- Six, J., Elliott, E. T. & Paustian, K. Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 2099–2103 (2000).
- Grandy, A. S. & Robertson, G. P. Aggregation and organic matter protection following tillage of a previously uncultivated soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 70, 1398–1406 (2006).
- Nunan, N., Wu, K., Young, I. M., Crawford, J. W. & Ritz, K. Spatial distribution of bacterial communities and their relationships with the microarchitecture of soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 44, 203–215 (2003).
- Hafner, S. & Kuzyakov, Y. Carbon input and partitioning in subsoil by chicory and alfalfa. *Plant Soil* 406, 29–42 (2016).
- Kravchenko, A. N. & Guber, A. K. Soil pores and their contributions to soil carbon processes. *Geoderma* 287, 31–39 (2017).
- Keiluweit, M., Nico, P. S., Kleber, M. & Fendorf, S. Are oxygen limitations under recognized regulators of organic carbon turnover in upland soils? *Biogeochemistry* 127, 157–171 (2016).
- Keiluweit, M., Wanzek, T., Kleber, M., Nico, P. & Fendorf, S. Anaerobic microsites have an unaccounted role in soil carbon stabilization. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1771 (2017).
- 35. Quigley, M. Y., Negassa, W. C., Guber, A. K., Rivers, M. L. & Kravchenko, A. N. Influence of pore characteristics on the fate and distribution of newly

added carbon. Front. Environ. Sci. 13, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fenvs.2018.00051 (2018).

- Chenu, C., Hassink, J. & Bloem, J. Short-term changes in the spatial distribution of microorganisms in soil aggregates as affected by glucose addition. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 34, 349–356 (2001).
- Killham, K., Amato, M. & Ladd, J. N. Effect of substrate location in soil and soil pore-water regime on carbon turnover. *Soil Biol. Biochem* 25, 57–62 (1993).
- Ruamps, L. S. et al. Regulation of soil organic C mineralisation at the pore scale. *Fems Microbiol Ecol.* 86, 26–35 (2013).
- Strong, D. T., De Wever, H., Merckx, R. & Recous, S. Spatial location of carbon decomposition in the soil pore system. *Eur. J. Soil. Sci.* 55, 739–750, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2004.00639.x (2004).
- Kravchenko, A. N. et al. Intra-aggregate pore structure influences phylogenetic composition of bacterial community in macroaggregates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 78, 1924–1939, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2014.07.0308 (2014).
- Bailey, V. L., Smith, A. P., Tfaily, M., Fansler, S. J. & Bond-Lamberty, B. Differences in soluble organic carbon chemistry in pore waters sampled from different pore size domains. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **107**, 133–143 (2017).
- Smith, A. P. et al. Shifts in pore connectivity from precipitation versus groundwater rewetting increases soil carbon loss after drought. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1335 (2017).
- 43. Akbari, A. & Ghoshal, S. Bioaccessible porosity in soil aggregates and implications for biodegradation of high molecular weight petroleum compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **49**, 14368–14375 (2015).
- Schluter, S., Eickhorst, T. & Mueller, C. W. Correlative imaging reveals holistic view of soil microenvironments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 829–837 (2019).
- Sanford, G. R. et al. Comparative productivity of alternative cellulosic bioenergy cropping systems in the North Central USA. *Agriculture Ecosyst. Environ.* 216, 344–355 (2016).
- 46. Cotrufo, M. F. et al. Formation of soil organic matter via biochemical and physical pathways of litter mass loss. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 776-+ (2015).
- Kravchenko, A. N. & Robertson, G. P. Whole-profile soil carbon stocks: the danger of assuming too much from analyses of too little. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75, 235–240 (2011).
- Quigley, M. Y., Rivers, M. L. & Kravchenko, A. N. Patterns and sources of spatial heterogeneity in soil matrix from contrasting long term management practices. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 29, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00028 (2018).
- Kravchenko, A. N. et al. X-ray computed tomography to predict soil N₂O production via bacterial denitrification and N₂O emission from soils in contrasting bioenergy cropping systems. *Gcb Bioenergy*, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12552 (2018).
- Liang, C., Schimel, J. P. & Jastrow, J. D. The importance of anabolism in microbial control over soil carbon storage. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 17105 (2017).
- Quigley, M. Y., Kravchenko, A. N., Negassa, W., Guber, A. K. & Rivers, M. L. Influence of pore characteristics on the fate and distribution of newly added carbon. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00051 (2018).
- Keiluweit, M., Wanzek, T., Kleber, M., Nico, P. & Fendorf, S. Anaerobic microsites have an unaccounted role in soil carbon stabilization. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1771 (2017).
- Jörg, B. et al. Physical carbon-sequestration mechanisms under special consideration of soil wettability. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 171, 14–26 (2008).
- McLaughlin, S. B. & Kszos, L. A. Development of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) as a bioenergy feedstock in the United States. *Biomass-. Bioenerg.* 28, 515–535 (2005).
- Zhang, B. et al. Soil depth and crop determinants of bacterial communities under ten biofuel cropping systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 112, 140–152 (2017).
- Jesus, E. C. et al. Influence of corn, switchgrass, and prairie cropping systems on soil microbial communities in the upper Midwest of the United States. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* 8, 481–494 (2016).
- Sprunger, C. D., Oates, L. G., Jackson, R. D. & Robertson, G. P. Plant community composition influences fine root production and biomass allocation in perennial bioenergy cropping systems of the upper Midwest, USA. *Biomass-. Bioenergy* 105, 248–258 (2017).
- Harris, Z. M., Spake, R. & Taylor, G. Land use change to bioenergy: a metaanalysis of soil carbon and GHG emissions. *Biomass-. Bioenergy* 82, 27–39 (2015).
- Qin, Z., Dunn, J. B., Kwon, H., Mueller, S. & Wander, M. M. Soil carbon sequestration and land use change associated with biofuel production: empirical evidence. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* 8, 66–80 (2016).
- Liebig, M. A., Schmer, M. R., Vogel, K. P. & Mitchell, R. B. Soil carbon storage by switchgrass grown for bioenergy. *Bioenerg. Res* 1, 215–222 (2008).
- Schmer, M. R., Liebig, M. A., Vogel, K. P. & Mitchell, R. B. Field-scale soil property changes under switchgrass managed for bioenergy. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* 3, 439–448 (2011).

- 62. Garten, C. T. & Wullschleger, S. D. Soil carbon dynamics beneath switchgrass as indicated by stable isotope analysis. *J. Environ. Qual.* 29, 645–653 (2000).
- Adkins, J., Jastrow, J. D., Morris, G. P., Six, J. & de Graaff, M.-A. Effects of switchgrass cultivars and intraspecific differences in root structure on soil carbon inputs and accumulation. *Geoderma* 262, 147–154 (2016).
- Chaudhary, D. R. & Dick, R. P. Identification of metabolically active rhizosphere microorganisms by stable isotopic probing of PLFA in switchgrass. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan* 47, 2433–2444 (2016).
- Ferchaud, F., Vitte, G. & Mary, B. Changes in soil carbon stocks under perennial and annual bioenergy crops. *Gcb Bioenergy* 8, 290–306 (2016).
- Kantola, I. B., Masters, M. D. & DeLucia, E. H. Soil particulate organic matter increases under perennial bioenergy crop agriculture. *Soil Biol. Biochem* 113, 184–191 (2017).
- 67. Stewart, C. E. et al. Seasonal switchgrass ecotype contributions to soil organic carbon, deep soil microbial community composition and rhizodeposit uptake during an extreme drought. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **112**, 191–203 (2017).
- Bodner, G., Leitner, D. & Kaul, H. P. Coarse and fine root plants affect pore size distributions differently. *Plant Soil* 380, 133–151 (2014).
- Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A. G., Vetterlein, D. & Young, I. M. Rhizosphere: biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. *Plant Soil* 321, 117–152 (2009).
- Kell, D. B. Breeding crop plants with deep roots: their role in sustainable carbon, nutrient and water sequestration. Ann. Bot. 108, 407–418 (2011).
- Banfield, C. C., Dippold, M. A., Pausch, J., Hoang, D. T. T. & Kuzyakov, Y. Biopore history determines the microbial community composition in subsoil hotspots. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 53, 573–588 (2017).
- Banfield, C. C., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kopka, B. & Kuzyakov, Y. Labelling plants in the Chernobyl way: a new Cs-137 and C-14 foliar application approach to investigate rhizodeposition and biopore reuse. *Plant Soil* **417**, 301–315 (2017).
- Robertson, G. P. & Hamilton, S. K. in *The* Ecology of Agricultural Landscapes: Long-term Research on the Path to Sustainability (eds Hamilton, S. K., Doll, J. E. & Robertson, G. P.) 1–32 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2015).
- Grossman, R. B. & Reinsch, T. G. in *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 4. Physical Methods*. (eds Dane, J. H. & Topp, G. C.) 201–228 (Agron. Monogr. 5. ASA and SSSA, 2002).
- Kittler, J. & Illingworth, J. Minimum error thresholding. *Pattern Recogn.* 19, 41–47 (1986).
- Nakagawa, Y. & Rosenfeld, A. Some experiments on variable thresholding. Pattern Recogn. 11, 191–204 (1979).
- Munch, B. & Holzer, L. Contradicting geometrical concepts in pore size analysis attained with electron microscopy and mercury intrusion. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 91, 4059–4067 (2008).
- Ladoni, M., Basir, A. & Kravchenko, A. Which soil carbon fraction is the best for assessing management differences? A statistical power perspective. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 79, 848–857 (2015).
- Paul, E. A., Harris, D., Klug, M. J. & Ruess, R. W. in *Standard Soil Methods for Long-term Ecological Research* (eds Robertson, G. P., Coleman, D. C., Bledsoe, C. S. & Sollins, P.) Ch. 15, 291–317 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).
- Horwath, W. R. et al. Defining a realistic control for the chloroform fumigation-incubation method using microscopic counting and C-14substrates. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* **76**, 459–467 (1996).
- Razavi, B. S., Blagodatskaya, E. & Kuzyakov, Y. Temperature selects for static soil enzyme systems to maintain high catalytic efficiency. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 97, 15–22 (2016).
- Kravchenko, A. N. et al. Spatial patterns of extracellular enzymes: combining X-ray computed micro-tomography and 2D zymography. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 135, 411–419 (2019).
- Razavi, B. S., Liu, S. B. & Kuzyakov, Y. Hot experience for cold-adapted microorganisms: temperature sensitivity of soil enzymes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 105, 236–243 (2017).
- Guber, A. et al. Quantitative soil zymography: mechanisms, processes of substrate and enzyme diffusion in porous media. *Soil Biol. Biochem* 127, 156–167 (2018).
- Milliken, G. A. & Johnson, D. E. Analysis of Messy Data Volume I: Designed Experiments. 2nd edn (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009).
- Milliken, G. A. & Johnson, D. E. Analysis of Messy Data Volume III: Analysis of Covariance. 1st edn (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2001).

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Maxwell Oerther and Jessica Fry for conducting soil C analyses; and to KBS GLBRC team for agronomic management of the field experiment and for collecting belowground biomass and soil water content data. We would like to thank Chelsea Mamott for the artwork and GLBRC communication team for help with figure preparations. This research was funded in part by the National Science Foundation's

ARTICLE

Geobiology and Low Temperature Geochemistry Program (Award# 1630399). Support for this research was also provided by the Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research (Awards DE-SC0018409 and DE-FC02-07ER64494), by the National Science Foundation Long-term Ecological Research Program (DEB 1637653) at the Kellogg Biological Station, and by Michigan State University AgBioResearch. The work of A. Kravchenko was supported by DAAD- German Academic Exchange Service' program "Research Stays for University Academics and Scientists, 2017" (57314018) and by the Research Award from Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We gratefully acknowledge the German Research Foundation (DFG) for supporting the project: RA3062/3-1.

Author contributions

A.N.K. developed research concepts with inputs from A.K.G, B.S.R., G.P.R., and Y.K.; M.Y.Q. and A.K.G conducted the soil sampling: A.N.K., A.K.G., and B.S.R. conducted laboratory experiments and zymography analyses, with inputs from Y.K.; J.K. and M.Y.Q. conducted computed micro-tomography; A.N.K. and A.K.G. conducted data analyses; A.N.K., G.P.R. and Y.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript writing and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11057-4.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Peer review information: *Nature Communications* thanks Bernd Marschner, Luiz Pires and other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019